PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form DCT/ISA/200				
532552001140	ACTION as we	see Form PCT/ISA/220 well as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US2004/010388	02/04/2004	02/04/2003				
Applicant						
CELATOR TECHNOLOGIES INC.						
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.						
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sheets.					
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.						
Basis of the report With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).						
b. With regard to any nucleo	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.					
2. Certain claims were fou	Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).					
3. Unity of invention is lac	nvention is lacking (see Box III).					
4. With regard to the title,						
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.					
the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	•				
5. With regard to the abstract,						
X the text is approved as su						
may, within one month fro	oned, according to Hule 38.2(b), by this Author on the date of mailing of this international sea	rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant arch report, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. With regards to the drawings,						
	published with the abstract is Figure No. $\underline{}$					
X as suggested by		<u> </u>				
as selected by the	s Authority, because the applicant failed to s	uggest a figure.				
as selected by the	is Authority, because this figure better charac	terizes the invention.				
b none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.					

RNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US2004/010388

			004/010366
A. CLASSI IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G01N33/50 A61K39/00 A61K38/0	00	
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	ation and IPC	
	SEARCHED		······································
Minimum do IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification $G01N - A61K$	on symbols)	
	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s		
ł	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data base		sed)
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data, PAJ, BIOSIS, EMBAS	SE	
	·		
<u> </u>	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rel	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	BEPLER G ET AL: "ADDITIVE AND DIFFERENTIAL BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY INTERFERON A DIFLUOROMETHYLORNITH THEIR COMBINATION ON ESTABLISHED LUNG CANCER CELL LINES" CANCER RESEARCH, vol. 46, no. 7, 1986, pages 3413-XP009036764 ISSN: 0008-5472 abstract page 3417, left-hand column, last paragraph — right-hand column, last paragraph —	HINE AND HUMAN -3419,	1-16, 22-25
X Furl	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are list	led in annex.
Special categories of cited documents:			
"A" docume	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the		
	E earlier document but published on or after the international filting date. *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention		
which	cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special grassin (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention		
"O" docum	n or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means	cannot be considered to involve a document is combined with one o	n inventive step when the r more other such docu-
P docume	means ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed	ments, such combination being of in the art. *&* document member of the same pat	•
<u> </u>	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international	
2	3 September 2004	07/10/2004	
Name and	Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Authorized officer		
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Luis Alves, D	

3

RNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2004/010388

C.(Continuation)			
	ontinuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category * Citation	on of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Retevant to claim No.	
c a w c C V X	SAKI S-I ET AL: "Alteration of drug hemosensitivity caused by the denovirus-mediated transfer of the dild-type p53 gene in human lung cancer sells" ANCER GENE THERAPY 2000 UNITED STATES, vol. 7, no. 2, 2000, pages 300-307, P002297132 SSN: 0929-1903	1-16, 22-25	
e o N v 3 5 I c a p	AN'T VEER LAURA J ET AL: "Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer" ATURE (LONDON), col. 415, no. 6871, cl January 2002 (2002-01-31), pages 30-536, XP002297133 CSSN: 0028-0836 Cited in the application abstract orage 534, right-hand column, last paragraph - page 535, left-hand column, paragraph 1	1-16, 22-25	
m c I v 1 2	BLUMENTHAL ROSALYN D ET AL: "An in vitro nodel to optimize dose scheduling of nultimodal radioimmunotherapy and chemotherapy: Effects of p53 expression." INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CANCER, vol. 108, no. 2, no. 2, no. 2, no. 2, no. 2, no. 2, no. 3, no. 2, no. 3, no. 2, no. 3, no. 2, no. 3,	1-16, 22-25	

3

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.1

Although claim 21 is directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition. The same remark applies to claims 22 to 25 which encompass method steps carried out on the human/animal body.

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 17-21

The compositions in claims 17 and 18 are defined by reference to a method of preparation which definition does not characterise the "therapeutic agents" neither the "ratio of the first to the second therapeutic agent". The single characterising features which are imparted to the composition by its method of preparation are the presence of two therapeutic agents, each associated with a delivery vehicle. However, the number of compositions which fall within this definition is so large that a meaningful search for said claims is rendered impossible. It is impossible to determine which parts of the claims may be said to define subject—matter for which protection might legitimately be sought (Article 6 PCT).

The same objection applies to the claims defining uses of said compositions, for the same reasons. Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 19 to 21 cannot be searched in a meaningful way.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US2004/010388

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Although claim 21 is directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition. The same remark applies to claims 22 to 25 which encompass method steps carried out on the human/animal body. 2. X Claims Nos.: 17-21 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.